

House GOP Leaders, Taxwriters Warn OECD on Global Tax Deal

Posted on Sep. 19, 2024

By Rep. Jason Smith, Rep. Mike Johnson, Rep. Steve Scalise, Rep. Tom Emmer, Rep. Elise M. Stefanik, Rep. Vern Buchanan, Rep. Adrian Smith, Rep. Mike Kelly, Rep. David Schweikert, Rep. Darin LaHood, Rep. Brad R. Wenstrup, Rep. Jodey C. Arrington, Rep. A. Drew Ferguson IV, Rep. Kevin Hern, Rep. Carol D. Miller, Rep. Gregory Murphy, Rep. David Kustoff, Rep. Brian K. Fitzpatrick, Rep. W. Gregory Steube, Rep. Claudia Tenney, Rep. Michelle Fischbach, Rep. Blake D. Moore, Rep. Michelle Steel, Rep. Beth Van Duyne, Rep. Randy Feenstra, Rep. Nicole Malliotakis and Rep. Mike Carey

September 17, 2024

Mathias Cormann
Secretary-General
Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development
2, rue André Pascal
75016 Paris

Dear Secretary-General Cormann:

One year ago, a congressional delegation led by Members of the U.S. House Committee on Ways and Means traveled to Paris to make clear to the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (“OECD”) that the U.S. Congress will not consent to the global tax deal unilaterally negotiated by the Biden-Harris administration. We write today to renew our objections and express support for a lawsuit filed by the American Free Enterprise Chamber of Commerce in the Belgian Constitutional Court challenging the undertaxed profits rule (“UTPR”), which would surrender U.S. tax sovereignty, allowing unelected foreign bureaucrats to dictate tax policy, and help foreign governments arbitrarily extract hundreds of billions of dollars from the U.S. economy. The United States enacted a strong global minimum tax seven years ago, and the U.S. Congress will not replace that proven policy with the version pieced together in the OECD global tax deal. Implementation of the UTPR and other OECD policies would force the United States to forfeit \$120 billion in revenue to foreign governments¹ while offering competitive advantages to China and others. Ultimately, the Biden-Harris administration lacks the authority to impose any tax deal on Americans without the approval of the U.S. Congress — doing so would violate the United States Constitution. For these reasons, we continue to oppose the OECD global tax deal and support the efforts underway in the Belgian Constitutional Court to block implementation.

The United States Constitution expressly grants the taxing power to Congress, not to the President.² Specifically, the U.S. Constitution requires that “[a]ll bills for raising revenue shall originate in the House of Representatives.”³ This constitutional structure makes the Committee on Ways and Means, the tax-writing committee in the U.S. House of Representatives, the *only* entity where changes in U.S. tax law may originate. Thus, the Biden-Harris administration's unilateral negotiations without consultation with Congress constitutes a major overstep of its authority, with dire consequences for American workers and businesses.

The UTPR is fundamentally flawed, and it will never be effective against companies backed by entities such as the Chinese Communist Party (“CCP”). China will exploit the OECD global tax deal's loophole for direct government subsidies, which are a hallmark of Chinese economic activity. Other countries will likely do the same, thwarting the OECD's stated purpose of ensuring a minimum level of taxation. Ultimately, the UTPR will target U.S. workers and businesses by allowing foreign governments to claw back important U.S. tax incentives (e.g., tax credits for research and development and low-income housing) and attack the operations of American companies in third-party jurisdictions.

The United States has already implemented a strong global minimum tax. Global intangible low-taxed income (“GILTI”), has proved an effective mechanism to prevent abusive tax practices by multinational corporations. We recognize the sovereign right of countries to adopt tax rules for their own companies and for activities within their own borders. We also welcome countries' efforts to enact their own GILTI-type global minimum taxes. Regrettably, the UTPR and other policies envisioned in the OECD global tax deal fail to adequately respect GILTI as the first and only proven global minimum tax in the world, which allows foreign governments to unfairly target Americans.

To date, less than half of the world's economy has even considered implementing the OECD global tax deal. And even if a country like China were to move forward, there is no assurance that the CCP would play by the rules. For instance, last year it was reported that the Chinese government instructed state-owned companies to phase out their use of the big four accounting firms to address security concerns and Western influence.⁴ They were instead instructed to use auditors local to China and Hong Kong, subject to the control of the CCP.⁵ The UTPR and other aspects of the OECD global tax deal depend on transparent corporate financial reporting and home jurisdictions ensuring accurate and honest compliance. This system, however, is wholly inadequate to police a jurisdiction where the State, businesses, and auditors conspire to obscure information and avoid global minimum tax rules. Under the OECD global tax deal, bad actors are rewarded while American workers and businesses are in the crosshairs.

The U.S. Congress remains opposed to the unfair and unworkable OECD global tax deal. Should foreign governments seek to target Americans through the UTPR or other mechanisms in the OECD global tax deal, we will be forced to pursue countermeasures.⁶ We encourage and support all efforts to preserve countries' tax sovereignty and to block implementation of unfair rules like the UTPR, including the most recent challenge filed in the Belgian Constitutional Court.

Sincerely,

Jason Smith
Chairman
Committee on Ways and Means

Mike Johnson
Speaker of the House

Steve Scalise
Majority Leader

Tom Emmer
Majority Whip

Elise Stefanik
Conference Chair

Vern Buchanan
Member of Congress

Adrian Smith
Member of Congress

Mike Kelly
Member of Congress

David Schweikert
Member of Congress

Darin LaHood
Member of Congress

Brad R. Wenstrup, D.P.M.
Member of Congress

Jodey C. Arrington
Member of Congress

A. Drew Ferguson, IV
Member of Congress

Ron Estes
Member of Congress

Lloyd Smucker
Member of Congress

Kevin Hern
Member of Congress

Carol D. Miller
Member of Congress

Gregory F. Murphy, M.D.
Member of Congress

David Kustoff
Member of Congress

Brian K. Fitzpatrick
Member of Congress

W. Gregory Steube
Member of Congress

Claudia Tenney
Member of Congress

Michelle Fischbach
Member of Congress

Blake D. Moore
Member of Congress

Michelle Steel
Member of Congress

Beth Van Duyne
Member of Congress

Randy Feenstra
Member of Congress

Nicole Malliotakis
Member of Congress

Mike Carey
Member of Congress

FOOTNOTES

¹*Possible Effects of Adopting The OECD's Pillar Two, Both Worldwide and In The United States*,
Prepared by the Staff of the Joint Comm. on Taxation (June 2023),
<https://www.jct.gov/publications/2023/oecd-pillar-two-report-june-2023/>

²U.S. Const. art. I, §8, cl. 1.

³U.S. Const. art. I, §7, cl. 1.

⁴Kalyeena Makortoff, *China instructs state firms to phase out big four auditors*, THE GUARDIAN (Feb. 22, 2023), <https://www.theguardian.com/world/2023/feb/22/china-instructs-state-firms-to-phase-out-big-four-auditors>.

⁵*Id.*

⁶Defending American Jobs and Investment Act, H.R. 3665, 118th Cong. §1 (2023); Unfair Tax Prevention Act, H.R. 4695, 118th Cong. §1 (2023).

END FOOTNOTES